

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/680,265	MARINCA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Steven Henry (Registration No. 27,900).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2006
**Time:** N/A
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner proposed to restrict claims of the instant Application into two Groups: Group I (claims 1-10,17-37); Group II (claims 11-16). Applicant's representative elected Group I for further examination without traverse.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)